

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/522,576	04/28/2005	Moonish R Patel	070602-0568	8806	
31824 7590 05/15/2007 MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 18191 VON KARMAN AVE.			EXAMINER		
			DINH, TIEN QUANG		
SUITE 500 IRVINE, CA 92612-7108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3644		
		•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			4			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-			
	10/522,576	PATEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tien Dinh	3644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(	S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,	,			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION	٧.				
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ļi			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ja	nuary 2007.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims			i			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-24 is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			4			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	i			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	su.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F		1			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/05, 6/05.	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/522,576

Art Unit: 3644

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of group I in the reply filed on 1/19/07 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 12-24 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 1/19/07.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

- A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4-6, 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hosick et al.

Hosick et al teaches a system that provides attitude control. The system has control logic/modules to control the reaction wheel 40 assemblies and gimbaled thruster assemblies 22 during orbit transfers or any other maneuvers as desired that can result in minimal gimbal stepping. The system has processors and software. The maneuver control module has a momentum adjust module and a gimbal module that constantly take into account the operations of the reaction wheel and the thrusters and use first, second, and third output signals to control

the spacecrafts. When there is a torque deficit, the system as a whole adjust the reaction wheels and the thrusters so that the correct maneuvering commands are sent to the wheels and the thrusters. See column 7, lines 1-52. See column 9, lines 29-45. See column 10, lines 6-21. See column 11, lines 9-23.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4-6, 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamashita 6622969 in view of Goodzeit 6481672.

Yamashita discloses a system having control logic/control module (that is part of the parts 8, 6, 9, 4, 5) to control reaction wheel assemblies 7 and control logic/control module to control the thrusters 10 are well known. The system can operate during orbit transfers. The use of reaction wheels and thrusters results in minimal gimbal stepping since they work together. Yamashita is silent on the gimbaled thrusters. However, Goodzeit discloses a system having control logic to control gimbaled thrusters are well known. See abstract.

It would have been obvious one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have used control logic to control gimbaled thrusters in Yamashita's system as taught by Goodzeit to allow great maneuverability to the spacecraft.

Re claims 5 and 10, parts 8, 6, 9, 4, 5 have processors and hence software etc.

Re claim 11, the maneuver control module, which is comprised of parts 8, 9, 4, 5, 6, are used to control the maneuvers of the spacecraft. The maneuver control module has a momentum adjust module which control the thruster 10 and reaction wheel 7. The second output is the thruster momentum adjust torque and a third output relating to the integral momentum adjust torque, which is the summation of the thruster output and reaction wheel before it is "pointed" to the satellite dynamics 1. When there is a torque deficit, the gimbal module is sent back to the main control module via elements 16, 19. The reaction wheel control module would then generates the signal to the momentum wheel assemblies to adjust the maneuvers of the spacecraft as desired in part of the reiterations.

Claims 2, 3, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamashita 6622969 as modified by Goodzeit 6481672 as applied to claims 1 and 6 above, and further in view of Baldwin et al 6870164.

Yamashita 6622969 as modified by Goodzeit 6481672 discloses all claimed parts except for the Hall Current Thrusters. However, Baldwin et al discloses that Hall Current Thrusters are well known in the art.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have used Hall Current Thrusters in Yamashita 6622969's system as modified by Goodzeit 6481672 and as taught by Baldwin et al as a substitution of parts to have a lighter thruster system.

Claims 2, 3, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hosick et al 6032904 in view of Baldwin et al 6870164

Hosick et al discloses all claimed parts except for the Hall Current Thrusters. However, Baldwin et al discloses that Hall Current Thrusters are well known in the art.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have used Hall Current Thrusters in Hosick's system as taught by Baldwin et al as a substitution of parts to have a lighter thruster system.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Glogowski et al; Hein et al, and Li et al teach satellite means.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tien Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-6899. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 571-272-7045. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TD

Tun Dt